Call for emergency action to limit global temperature increases, restore biodiversity, and protect health

The UN General Assembly in September, 2021, will bring countries 50%.4 Higher temperatures have together at a critical time for marshalling collective action to tackle renal function loss, dermatological the global environmental crisis. They malignancies, tropical infections, will meet again at the biodiversity summit in Kunming, China, and the UN Climate Change Conference of the Parties (COP26) in Glasgow, UK. Ahead of these pivotal meetings, we-the editors of health journals worldwide-call for urgent action to keep average global temperature increases below 1.5°C, halt the destruction of nature, and protect health.

Health is already being harmed by global temperature increases and the since 1981; this, together with the destruction of the natural world, a state of affairs health professionals have been bringing attention to for decades.1 The science is unequivocal; ecosystems a global increase of 1.5°C above the pre-industrial average and the continued loss of biodiversity risk catastrophic harm to health that will be eroding water and food security and impossible to reverse.2,3 Despite the world's necessary preoccupation with pandemics.37.8 COVID-19, we cannot wait for the pandemic to pass to rapidly reduce emissions.

Reflecting the severity of the moment, this Comment appears in health journals across the world. We are united in recognising that only fundamental and equitable changes to societies will reverse our current trajectory.

1.5°C are now well established.² Indeed, no temperature rise is "safe". In the past 20 years, heat-related

years has increased by more than brought increased dehydration and adverse mental health outcomes, pregnancy complications, allergies, and cardiovascular and pulmonary morbidity and mortality.^{5,6} Harms disproportionately affect the most vulnerable, including children, older populations, ethnic minorities, poorer financial institu tions, and businesses communities, and those with underlying health problems.2,4 Global heating is also contributing to the decline in global yield potential for dropping rapidly. Many countries are major crops, falling by 1.8–5.6% effects of extreme weather and soil depletion, is hampering efforts to reduce undernutrition.4 Thriving

are essential to human health, and the longer-term plans to accelerate widespread destruction of nature, including habitats and species, is increasing the chance of

The consequences of the environmental crisis fall disproportionately on those countries and communities that have contributed least to the problem and are least able to mitigate the harms. Yet no country, no matter how wealthy, can shield itself from these impacts. Allowing the consequences to fall disproportionately on the most The risks to health of increases above vulnerable will breed more conflict, food insecurity, forced displacement, and zoonotic disease-with severe implications for all countries and

mortality among people older than 65 communities. As with the COVID-19 pandemic, we are globally as strong as our weakest member. Rises above 1.5°C increase the

chance of reaching tipping points in natural systems that could lock the world into an acutely unstable state. This would critically impair our ability to mitigate harms and to prevent catastrophic, runaway environmental change.9,10

Encouragingly, many governments, are setting targets to reach net zero emissions, including targets for 2030. The cost of renewable energy is aiming to protect at least 30% of the world's land and oceans by 2030.11 These promises are not enough. Targets are easy to set and hard to achieve. They are yet to be matched with credible short-term and

cleaner technologies and transform societies. Emissions reduction plans do not adequately incorporate health considerations.12 Concern is growing that temperature rises above 1.5°C are beginning to be seen as inevitable, or even acceptable, to powerful members of the global community.13 Relatedly, current strategies for reducing emissions to net zero by the middle of the 21st century implausibly assume that the world will acquire great capabilities to remove greenhouse gases from the atmosphere.14,15

This insufficient action means that temperature increases are likely to be well in excess of 2°C,16 a catastrophic outcome for health and environmental

nature does not Published Online

www.thelancet.com Published online September 6, 2021 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)01915-2 1

Comment

environmental scientists, businesses, and many others in rejecting that this outcome is inevitable. More can and must be done now-in Glasgow and Kunming-and in the immediate years that follow. We join health professionals worldwide who have already supported calls for

have parity of esteem with the climate element rapid action.1,19 of the crisis, and every single global target to This is an overall environmental crisis.18

Health professionals are united

Equity must be at the centre of the global restore biodiversity loss by 2020 was missed.¹⁷ response. Contributing a fair share to the global effort means that reduction commitments must with account for the cumulative, historical

contribution each country has made to emissions, as well as its current emissions and capacity to respond. Wealthier countries will have to cut emissions more quickly, making 2030 beyond those currently reductions by proposed^{20,21} and reaching net-zero emissions before 2050. Similar targets and emergency action are needed for biodiversity loss and the wider destruction of the natural world.

To achieve these targets, governments must make fundamental changes to how our societies and economies are organised and how we live. The current strategy of encouraging markets to swap dirty for cleaner technologies is not enough. Governments must intervene to support the redesign of transport systems, cities, production and distribution of food, markets for financial investments, health systems, and much more. Global coordination is needed to ensure that the rush for cleaner technologies does not come at the cost of more environmental destruction and human exploitation.

Many governments met the threat of the pandemic with unprecedented COVID-19 funding. The environ mental crisis demands a similar emergency response. Huge investment will be needed, beyond what is being considered or delivered anywhere in the world. But such investments will produce huge positive health and economic outcomes. These include high quality jobs, reduced air pollution, increased physical activity, and improved housing and diet. Better air quality alone would realise health benefits that easily offset the global costs of emissions reductions.22

These measures will also improve the social and economic determinants of health, the poor state of which may have made populations more vulnerable to the COVID-19 pandemic.23 But the changes cannot

be achieved through a return to damaging finally changes course. austerity policies or the continuation of the large FG serves on the executive committee for the UK Health Alliance inequalities of wealth and power within and between countries.

In particular. countries that have disproportionately created the environmental crisis must do more to support low-income and This is an Open Access article under the CC BY 4.0 license. middle-income countries to build cleaner,

and healthier. resilient societies. more High-income countries must meet and go beyond their outstanding commitment to provide US\$100 billion a year, making up for shortfall in 2020 and increasing any contributions to and beyond 2025. Funding must be equally split between mitigation and adaptation, including improving the resilience of health systems.

Financing should be through grants rather than loans, building local capabilities and truly empowering communities, and should come alongside forgiving large debts, which constrain the agency of so many low-income countries. Additional funding must be marshalled to compensate for inevitable loss and damage caused bv the consequences of the environmental crisis.

As health professionals, we must do all we can to aid the transition to a sustainable, fairer, resilient, and healthier world. Alongside acting to reduce the harm from the environmental crisis, we should proactively contribute to global prevention of further damage and action on the root causes of the crisis. We must hold global leaders to account and continue to educate others about the health risks of the crisis. We must join in the work to achieve environmentally sustainable health systems before 2040, recognising that this will mean changing clinical practice. Health institutions have already divested more than \$42 billion of assets from fossil fuels; others should join them.4

The greatest threat to global public health is the continued failure of world leaders to keep the global temperature rise below 1.5°C and to restore nature. Urgent, society-wide changes must be made and will lead to a fairer and healthier world. We, as editors of health journals, call for governments and other leaders to act, marking 2021 as the year that the world

Copyright © 2021 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd.

on Climate Change and is a Trustee of the Eden Project. RS is the Chair of Patients Know Best, has stock in UnitedHealth Group, has done consultancy work for Oxford Pharmagenesis, and is chair of the Lancet Commission of the Value of Death. The other authors declare no competing interests.

Lukoye Atwoli, Editor in Chief, East African Medical Journal: Abdullah H Baqui, Editor in Chief, Journal of Health, Population and Nutrition; Thomas Benfield, Editor in Chief, Danish Medical Journal: Raffaella Bosurgi, Editor in Chief, PLOS Medicine; Fiona Godlee, Editor in Chief, 129-70. The BMJ; Stephen Hancocks, Editor in 5 Rocque RJ, Beaudoin C, Ndjaboue R, et al. Health Chief, British Dental Journal: Richard Horton, Editor in Chief, The Lancet; *Laurie Laybourn-Langton, Senior Adviser, UK Health Alliance on Climate ⁷ UN Environment Programme, International Livestock Research Institute. Preventing the next pandemic: Change; Carlos Augusto Monteiro, Editor in Chief. Revista de Saúde Pública; Ian Norman, Editor in Chief, International Journal of Nursing Studies: Kirsten Patrick, Interim Editor in Chief, CMAJ; Nigel Praities, Executive Editor, Pharmaceutical Journal;

Marcel G M Olde Rikkert, Editor in Chief, Dutch Journal of Medicine; Eric J Rubin, Editor in Chief, The New England Journal of Medicine: Peush Journal of India; Richard Smith, Chair, UK Health Alliance on Climate Change: Nicholas J Talley, Editor in Chief, Medical Journal of Australia; Sue Turale, Editor in Chief, International Nursing Review; Damián Vázguez, Editor in Chief, Pan American Journal of Public Health laurie.laybourn@ukhealthalliance.org

This Comment is being published simultaneously in multiple journals (appendix). This full list of journals, as well as a further list of supporting journals, can also be found on the BMJ website.

1 World Medical Association, International Council of Nurses, ISDE International, et al. In support of a #HealthyRecovery. May 26, 2020.

https://healthyrecovery.net (accessed Aug 17, 2021) 2 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Summary for policymakers. Global warming of 1.5°C. Anemissions. Science 2016; 354: 182-83. IPCC special report on the impacts of global warming of 16 Climate action tracker. 2021. 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels and related global greenhouse gas emission pathways, in the context of strengthening the global response to the threat of climate change, sustainable development, and efforts to eradicate poverty. 2018. https://www.ipcc.ch/sr15/ (accessed Aug 17, 2021).

3 Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. Summary for policymakers: the global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services. 2019. https://ipbes.net/sites/default/ files/2020-02/ipbes_global_assessment_report_summar 20 Climate Action Tracker. Warming projections global

y_for_ policymakers_en.pdf (accessed Aug 17, 2021). 4 Watts N, Amann M, Arnell N, et al. The 2020 report of the Lancet Countdown on health and climate change: responding to converging crises. Lancet 2021; 397:

effects of climate change: an overview of systematic reviews. BMJ Open 2021: 11: e046333. 6 Haines A. Ebi K. The imperative for climate action to protect health. N Engl J Med 2019; 380: 263-73.

zoonotic diseases and how to break the chain of transmission. 2020. https://72d37324-5089-459c-8f70-271d19427cf2.filesusr.com/ugd/056cf4 b5b2fc067f094dd3b2250cda15c47acd.pdf (accessed Aug 17, 2021). 8 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Summary for policymakers. Climate change and land: an IPCC special report on climate change, desertification, land degradation, sustainable land management, food security, and greenhouse gas fluxes in terrestrial ecosystems. 2019. https://www.ipcc.ch/srccl/chapter/summary-for

policymakers/ (accessed Aug 17, 2021). 9 Lenton TM, Rockström J, Gaffnev O, et al. Climate tipping points-too risky to bet against. Nature 2019;

575: 592-95.

10 Wunderling N, Donges JF, Kurths J, Winkelmann R. Interacting tipping elements increase risk of climate Sahni, Editor in Chief, National Medical domino effects under global warming. Earth Syst Dynam 2021; 12: 601-19.

11 High Ambition Coalition. 2021.

https://www.hacfornatureandpeople.org (accessed Aug 17. 2021).

12 Global Climate and Health Alliance. Are national climate commitments enough to protect our health? https://climateandhealthalliance.org/

initiatives/healthy-ndcs/ndc-scorecards/ (accessed Aug 17. 2021).

13 Thunberg G, Neubauer L, Charlier A, et al. Climate strikers: open letter to EU leaders on why their new climate law is "surrender". Carbon Brief, March 3, 2020. https://www.carbonbrief.org/climate-strikers-open letter-to-eu-leaders-on-why-their-new-climate-law-is-surr ender (accessed Aug 17, 2021).

14 Faiardy M. Köberle A. MacDowell N. Fantuzzi A See Online for appendix BECCS deployment: a reality check. Grantham Institute For the full list of journals and supporting journals see

briefing paper 28. January, 2019. https://www. imperial.ac.uk/media/imperial-college/grantham-institute/ https:// www.bmj.com/content/full-list authors-and-signatories climate-emergency-editorial public/

publications/briefing-papers/BECCS-deployment---a-realiseptember-2021

ty-check.pdf (accessed Aug 17, 2021).

15 Anderson K, Peters G. The trouble with negative

https://climateactiontracker.org (accessed Aug 17, 2021)

17 Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity. Global biodiversity outlook 5. 2020.

https://www.cbd.int/gbo5 (accessed Aug 17, 2021). 18 Steffen W, Richardson K, Rockström J, et al. Planetary boundaries: guiding human development on a changing planet. Science 2015; 347: 1259855. 19 UK Health Alliance. Our calls for action. 2021.

http://www.ukhealthalliance.org/cop26/ (accessed Aug 17, 2021).

update: May 2021

https://climateactiontracker.org/documents/853/CAT 202 1-05-04

Briefing Global-Update Climate-Summit-Momentum.pdf (accessed Aug 17, 2021).

21 UN Environment Programme. Emissions gap report 2020. Nairobi: United Nations Environment Programme, 2020

22 Markandya A, Sampedro J, Smith SJ, et al. Health co-benefits from air pollution and mitigation costs of the Paris Agreement: a modelling study. Lancet Planet Health 2018; 2: e126-33.

23 Paremoer L, Nandi S, Serag H, Baum F. Covid-19 pandemic and the social determinants of health. BMJ 2021: 372: n129.

www.thelancet.com Published online September 6, 2021 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)01915-2 3